

# RAD VERSUS NONRAD CONTROLS COMPETING HAZARDS

We do the right thing.

August 27, 2009

Ed Kvartek, Industrial Hygienist

2009 ISM Workshop

**Exposure Hazards** 



### Heat Stress Events at SRS in 2008

Date	Worker	Location	Temp (F)	
4/24	Female 53	Indoor	73	
6/9	Male 50	Outdoor	97.8	
6/28	Female 46	Indoor	84	
7/13	Female 44	Outdoor	90.5	
7/22	Male 59	Indoor	75.6	
7/28	Male 57	Outdoor Hut	97.8	
9/18	Male 22	Outdoor	Low 80's	



### Heat Stress Events at SRS in 2008

Date	Worker	Location	Temp (F)	PPE
4/24	Female 53	Indoor	73	One pair PCs & APR-FF
6/9	Male 50	Outdoor	97.8	Two pair PCs & APR-FF
6/28	Female 46	Indoor	84	Two pair PCs & APR-FF
7/13	Female 44	Outdoor	90.5	Two pair PCs & APR – FF & Acid suit pants
7/22	Male 59	Indoor	75.6	Two pair PCs & APR – FF
7/28	Male 57	Outdoor Hut	97.8	Two pair PCs & PSAR
9/18	Male 22	Outdoor	Low 80's	Two pair PCs & APR – FF



# Issue - Rad versus nonrad activities are often controlled differently

- Rad controlledALARA
  - Often treated as having no threshold
  - Skin contamination unacceptable
  - Inhalation infers job was out of control

- Nonrad generally controlled ALAP
  - Threshold based
  - Skin contact minimized but often acceptable
  - Inhalation minimized but accepted for many chemicals



## Impact of This Reality

- Rad controls take precedence in mixed environments
- Benefit
  - Rad controls often address nonrad chemicals
- Disadvantage
  - Conflicts that do arise in day-to-day operations may create confusion and less than ideal controls



## Examples of Hazards

#### Radiological Hazards

- Radiation (alpha, beta, gamma, neutron)
- Contamination on surfaces and in the air
- Tritium

#### Industrial Hygiene Hazards

- Chemicals aerosols, gases & vapors, fibers
- Heat stress (big deal in South Carolina)
- Noise

#### Industrial Safety Hazards

- Slips & falls
- Falling objects
- Confined spaces



## Examples of Where Conflicts Arise

- Respirators for potential particularly for transuranics
  - Limits visibility
  - Introduces tripping hazards
- PPE used to prevent skin contamination
  - Increases heat stress
  - Introduces air loss hazard
  - Impact use of hearing protection
- Exposure increased by competing controls
  - Work may be slowed by respiratory protection use
  - Heat stress controls (air movement) may increase hazard



## Is This an Opportunity to Improve Integrated Safety at DOE Projects?

- Perhaps
  - Apply controls commensurate with the real risks.
  - Reduce concern for skin contamination
  - Focus uptake controls on alpha facilities
- Benefits?
  - Possibly lower exposures due to increased efficiencies
  - Production efficiency gain
  - Increased safety





### How to Change?

- Obtain buy-in from DOE leadership
  - Address Integrated Risk potentials
  - Focus on worker protection versus Perceived
     Risk
- Update contractor programs
- Educate workforce clearly and carefully



## Possible Mechanism for Change

- Industry groups offer the best path forward
  - Work with DOE through Energy Facility Contractors Group (EFCOG)
  - Gather experience from the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) and utilities
  - Plan and execute